Beykoz Akademi Dergisi, 2025; 13(2), 286-315 DERLEME
Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

TRANSFORMATIVE IMPACT OF DIGITALIZATION AND BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGY ON FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING: A THEMATIC REVIEW

Damla Nurcan ÖZKILINÇ[1]

Abstract

The digital transformation of finance and accounting is accelerating with AI, blockchain, and automation, reshaping financial operations, auditing, and compliance. This study conducts a thematic analysis of academic literature (2018–2025) and industry reports from PwC, Deloitte, EY, HSBC, and central banks to examine key trends. Six themes emerged: automation and efficiency, security and fraud prevention, decentralization, financial inclusion, regulatory challenges, and adoption barriers. Findings show that AI and RPA enhance financial reporting and fraud detection, while blockchain improves transparency and security but poses scalability and regulatory challenges. Decentralized finance (DeFi) and digital currencies like JPM Coin and the Digital Yuan are transforming transactions but raise concerns over compliance and illicit activity risks. Mobile banking and blockchain-based solutions improve financial inclusion, yet digital literacy and security risks remain barriers. Using NVivo-based thematic analysis, the study identifies key trends shaping the future of financial digitalization. While AI and blockchain drive efficiency, regulatory complexities and adoption barriers must be addressed for sustainable transformation. Future research should explore scalability, AI-enhanced compliance, and blockchain's role in financial security.

Keywords: Digital Finance, Blockchain, AI in Accounting
JEL Classification: G21, M41, O30

DİJİTALLEŞME VE BLOKZİNCİR TEKNOLOJİSİNİN FİNANS VE MUHASEBE ÜZERİNDEKİ DÖNÜŞTÜRÜCÜ ETKİSİ: TEMATİK BİR İNCELEME

Öz

Finans ve muhasebenin dijital dönüşümü, yapay zeka (YZ), blokzincir ve otomasyon teknolojilerinin etkisiyle hızlanarak finansal operasyonları, denetimi ve uyumluluğu yeniden şekillendirmektedir. Bu çalışma, akademik literatür (2018–2025) ve PwC, Deloitte, EY, HSBC ile merkez bankalarından alınan sektör raporlarına dayanarak bir tematik analiz gerçekleştirmektedir. Analiz sonucunda altı ana tema ortaya çıkmıştır: otomasyon ve verimlilik, güvenlik ve dolandırıcılığın önlenmesi, merkeziyetsizlik, finansal kapsayıcılık, düzenleyici zorluklar ve benimseme engelleri. Bulgular, YZ ve Robotik Süreç Otomasyonu'nun (RPA) finansal raporlama ve dolandırıcılık tespitini iyileştirdiğini, blokzincirin ise şeffaflık ve güvenliği artırırken ölçeklenebilirlik ve düzenleyici zorluklar yarattığını göstermektedir. Merkeziyetsiz finans (DeFi) ve JPM Coin ile Dijital Yuan gibi dijital para birimleri, işlemleri dönüştürmekte ancak uyumluluk ve yasa dışı faaliyet riskleri konusunda endişeleri artırmaktadır. Mobil bankacılık ve blokzincir tabanlı çözümler finansal kapsayıcılığı geliştirse de dijital okuryazarlık eksikliği ve güvenlik riskleri önemli engeller olarak öne çıkmaktadır. NVivo tabanlı tematik analiz yöntemi kullanılarak finansal dijitalleşmenin geleceğini şekillendiren temel eğilimler belirlenmiştir. YZ ve blokzincir teknolojileri verimliliği artırırken, sürdürülebilir bir dönüşüm için düzenleyici karmaşıklıklar ve benimseme engelleri ele alınmalıdır. Gelecekteki araştırmalar, ölçeklenebilirlik, YZ destekli uyumluluk süreçleri ve blokzincirin finansal güvenlikteki rolü üzerine odaklanmalıdır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Dijital Finans, Blokzincir, Muhasebede Yapay Zeka
JEL Sınıflaması: G21, M41, O30

[1] Dr. Lecturer Damla Nurcan Özkılınç, damla.ozkilinc@yasar.edu.tr, 0000-0003-0344-5921

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

1. Introduction

The financial and accounting sectors have undergone significant transformations due to digitalization and blockchain technology. Digitalization, which encompasses the integration of digital technologies into financial processes, has streamlined operations, increased efficiency, and enhanced data accuracy. Traditional financial reporting and accounting methods have been progressively replaced by automated systems that utilize artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, and cloud computing (Agostino, Saliterer, & Steccolini, 2022). Alongside digitalization, blockchain technology has introduced decentralized, immutable ledger systems that improve transparency, fraud detection, and data integrity in financial transactions and auditing (Chowdhury, Stasi, & Pellegrino, 2023). The increasing reliance on these technologies has reshaped financial governance, regulatory compliance, and business decision-making.

Digitalization and blockchain are reshaping core financial processes, streamlining reporting, reducing errors, and improving efficiency (Möller, Schäffer, & Verbeeten, 2020). AI-driven analytics have strengthened forecasting and risk management, while blockchain enables real-time verification and secures financial records against fraud and unauthorized changes (Han et al., 2023). Financial institutions have already adopted these technologies: JPMorgan's JPM Coin supports instant institutional transactions and reduces settlement risks (Bloomberg, 2023), and HSBC's blockchain-based trade finance operations have shortened processing times from days to hours, demonstrating blockchain's value in cross-border finance (HSBC, 2023).

As regulatory bodies adapt to these advancements, there is growing attention to the legal and ethical challenges of digital finance, including cybersecurity concerns and regulatory compliance (Vovk et al., 2020). The European Central Bank (ECB) and Federal Reserve have both launched studies on the viability of Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs), which could revolutionize financial transactions by providing faster and more secure alternatives to traditional fiat currencies (European Central Bank, 2023). The People's Bank of China has already piloted the digital yuan, which has been tested in real-world transactions with millions of users, signifying the future shift toward digital government-backed currencies (People's Bank of China, 2023). These examples demonstrate how digitalization is shaping financial infrastructures globally.

This study aims to explore the transformative effects of digitalization and blockchain on finance and accounting, focusing on their implications for financial reporting, auditing, risk assessment, and regulatory frameworks. By analyzing the thematic trends in existing literature, the study

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

will identify the key benefits and challenges associated with the adoption of these technologies. The research will also examine how organizations leverage digital tools and blockchain for efficiency, accuracy, and security in financial transactions and reporting (Bisht et al., 2022). Understanding these dynamics is crucial for businesses, policymakers, and financial professionals to navigate the evolving landscape of digital finance.

The motivation for this study stems from the rapid technological shifts reshaping finance and accounting, driven by AI-enabled automation, blockchain, and decentralized finance (DeFi). These innovations improve efficiency, transparency, and security but also introduce challenges, including regulatory ambiguity, cybersecurity threats, and integration difficulties (Möller et al., 2020; Chowdhury et al., 2023). As central banks explore digital currencies (European Central Bank, 2023; People's Bank of China, 2023) and institutions such as JPMorgan and HSBC adopt blockchain for secure, real-time transactions (Bloomberg, 2023; HSBC, 2023), the sector is undergoing structural transformation. Yet cases like PwC's Evergrande incident underscore the need for stronger compliance frameworks and blockchain-based auditing tools (The Wall Street Journal, 2024). This study aims to address these gaps by offering insights into how firms, regulators, and financial professionals can navigate the evolving opportunities and risks of digital finance (Bisht et al., 2022; Yu, Lin, & Tang, 2018).

The study employs a thematic analysis of recent literature to assess the role of digitalization and blockchain in finance and accounting. A systematic review of scholarly articles, industry reports, and regulatory documents will provide insights into emerging trends and challenges. By synthesizing findings from multiple sources, the study offers a perspective on how these technological advancements shape financial practices and regulatory landscapes.

2. Conceptual Framework

2.1. Theoretical Foundations of Digitalization and Blockchain Adoption

To deepen the conceptual basis of this research, three interrelated theoretical perspectives were integrated: Institutional Theory, Technology–Organization–Environment (TOE) Framework, and Disruptive Innovation Theory. Together, these frameworks provide a multidimensional lens for understanding how digitalization and blockchain technologies reshape finance and accounting through institutional, organizational, and technological dynamics.

Institutional Theory (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983) explains how organizations adopt new technologies not only for efficiency but also to conform to external norms, regulations, and

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

social expectations. In the context of digital finance, the adoption of blockchain and artificial intelligence (AI) is influenced by coercive pressures (regulatory compliance and legal mandates), normative pressures (professional standards and auditing norms), and mimetic pressures (imitation of leading firms). Financial institutions such as JPMorgan, HSBC, and Deloitte exemplify these dynamics by adopting blockchain-based solutions to align with global transparency and security standards. Thus, institutional conformity and legitimacy-seeking behavior play a crucial role in the digital transformation of finance and accounting.

Technology–Organization–Environment (TOE) Framework, (Tornatzky & Fleischer, 1990) identifies three contextual factors that determine technological adoption: Technological context: perceived benefits, complexity, and compatibility of digital and blockchain systems; Organizational context: firm size, resources, digital literacy, and managerial support; Environmental context: regulatory climate, industry competition, and technological infrastructure. In this study, the TOE model explains how banks and accounting firms evaluate blockchain and AI adoption by balancing innovation potential against organizational readiness and environmental constraints. For example, while large institutions like JPMorgan and PwC possess strong technical capabilities, small and medium-sized enterprises often face resource and regulatory barriers, slowing the pace of digital adoption.

Disruptive Innovation Theory (Christensen, 1997) posits that new technologies can fundamentally alter existing business models by offering more efficient, accessible, or transparent alternatives. Blockchain represents such a disruptive force within finance and accounting, enabling decentralized verification, real-time auditing, and peer-to-peer financial transactions. This disintermediation challenges traditional auditing hierarchies and centralized banking systems. The emergence of decentralized finance (DeFi) and central bank digital currencies (CBDCs) demonstrates how disruptive technologies shift competitive dynamics and redefine institutional trust in financial systems.

2.2. Evolution of Digitalization in Finance and Accounting

The shift from traditional to digital finance and accounting has been driven by technological progress, regulatory changes, and evolving consumer expectations. Before digital systems, financial transactions depended on manual bookkeeping, paper-based reconciliations, and labor-intensive data processing, all of which increased the risk of error. The adoption of mainframe computers in the 1950s and 1960s marked the first major step toward automation, enabling organizations to process large volumes of financial data more efficiently (Möller et

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

al., 2020). By the 1980s, electronic banking, ATMs, and credit card networks accelerated this transformation by offering faster and more convenient transactions. In the 1990s, enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems further advanced digital integration by automating financial management and consolidating accounting, auditing, and reporting within unified platforms (Kokina & Blanchette, 2019).

The spread of the internet in the late 1990s and early 2000s reshaped finance and accounting by enabling online banking, digital payments, and cloud-based accounting systems. As banks digitized their services, consumers and businesses gained real-time access to financial transactions, and digital banking tools—online bill payment, mobile banking, and electronic transfers—quickly became standard. The 2010s saw further acceleration with mobile banking apps, fintech platforms, and blockchain-based innovations. Today, over 73% of global banking interactions occur through digital channels (PwC, 2020), reducing reliance on in-person services. Blockchain adoption is also growing: JPMorgan's JPM Coin now processes over $1 billion in daily transfers (Bloomberg, 2023). Meanwhile, central banks are exploring digital currencies, exemplified by China's digital yuan pilot, which has processed millions of transactions nationwide (People's Bank of China, 2023).

Several forces have accelerated the digitalization of financial practices. Regulatory reforms have been central, as stricter AML and KYC requirements have pushed institutions toward real-time digital monitoring and risk-assessment systems (Vovk et al., 2020). The COVID-19 pandemic intensified this shift by necessitating remote yet compliant financial services; HSBC's use of blockchain in trade finance illustrates how distributed ledgers enhance security and efficiency (HSBC, 2023). Surveys also indicate growing confidence in digital money: 76% of financial executives expect digital currencies to replace cash within a decade (Deloitte, 2021). Financial inclusion has been another catalyst, with mobile banking and fintech platforms expanding access in emerging markets (Gabor & Brooks, 2020). Research shows that digital inclusive finance supports economic growth and SME financing, helping reduce exclusion and improve business resilience (Zhang et al., 2024; Bu et al., 2024). Digitalization has likewise improved cost efficiency; AI-driven automation and blockchain reduce operational burdens, and blockchain alone may add $1.76 trillion to global GDP by 2030 (PwC, 2020). Tools such as EY's Blockchain Analyzer further strengthen transparency by enabling real-time verification of digital assets (EY, 2023).

Artificial intelligence, automation, and cloud computing now form the backbone of contemporary financial and accounting systems, strengthening efficiency, security, and

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

analytical capacity. AI-driven tools support predictive credit risk modeling and fraud detection, reducing errors and improving accuracy (Hao et al., 2023), while chatbots and virtual assistants manage routine customer interactions and transactions. Automation—especially robotic process automation—has streamlined core accounting activities, cutting processing times by roughly half in organizations that employ these tools (Kokina & Blanchette, 2019). Cloud computing has likewise reshaped financial operations by enabling real-time data access, remote collaboration, and stronger cybersecurity. Reflecting this shift, 81% of banking executives consider cloud technologies essential to their long-term strategies (Deloitte, 2021).

The convergence of AI, automation, and cloud computing has shifted finance and accounting from manual, paper-based routines to a data-driven and highly automated environment. Beyond improving efficiency and reducing costs, digitalization has strengthened security, transparency, and accessibility, while also introducing new risks such as cybersecurity and supply-chain finance vulnerabilities (Han & Wang, 2025). Research further highlights its broader economic implications: digital finance influences asset allocation and entrepreneurial resilience (Lee et al., 2024; d'Ignazio et al., 2025), underscoring the growing need for digital competencies in financial decision-making. As advancements in blockchain, AI, and cloud technologies continue, institutions must adapt to stay competitive, and professionals will need new skills to navigate an increasingly digital financial landscape. Table 1 summarizes research from 2018 to 2025, illustrating the ongoing evolution of digitalization in finance and accounting.

Table 1. Recent studies on digitalization in finance and accounting

Author(s)	Purpose of the Study	Method Used	Findings
Agostino et al., (2022)	To review literature on digitalization in accounting and accountability in public services.	Systematic literature review	Digitalization enhances financial accountability but requires regulatory adaptation.
Bisht et al., (2022)	To explore the integration of digitalization in corporate finance and its technological impact.	Empirical analysis using case studies and financial data	Integration of digitalization in finance improves efficiency but poses security challenges.
Möller et al., (2020)	To discuss the implications of digitalization in management	Editorial review	Digitalization transforms management accounting by

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

	accounting and control.		increasing automation and data analytics.
Vovk et al. (2020)	To examine financial monitoring in banking under digitalization and legal risk-based approaches.	Qualitative analysis of financial monitoring frameworks	Financial monitoring under digitalization improves fraud detection but raises legal concerns.
Al-Htaybat, von Alberti-Alhtaybat & Alhatabat, (2018)	To evaluate how accounting education is preparing digital-native accountants for the future.	Survey of accounting educators and curriculum evaluation	Accounting curriculum needs to incorporate more digital competencies to meet future demands.
Kokina & Blanchette (2019)	To analyze the adoption of robotic process automation in accounting functions.	Case study and qualitative assessment of automation in accounting	Robotic process automation streamlines accounting operations but requires human oversight.
Gabor & Brooks (2020)	To explore how fintech innovations drive financial inclusion in developing economies.	Comparative analysis of fintech applications	Fintech innovations increase financial accessibility, though regulatory frameworks lag behind.
Liu et al. (2022)	To investigate whether digital finance can promote corporate green innovation.	Econometric modeling and data analysis of corporate green innovation	Digital finance positively influences corporate green innovation by reducing financial constraints.
Feng, Zhang & Li (2022)	To analyze the link between environmental decentralization, digital finance, and green technology innovation.	Statistical analysis of environmental policies and financial technologies	Environmental decentralization and digital finance jointly enhance green technology innovation.
Lin & Ma (2022)	To examine the influence of digital finance on green	Panel data regression analysis on financing	Digital finance alleviates financing constraints, which

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

	technology innovation from a financing constraints perspective.	constraints and digital finance	fosters green technology adoption.
Tan & Low (2019)	To explore the role of blockchain as a database engine in accounting systems.	Case study of blockchain implementation in accounting systems	Blockchain can be effectively integrated into accounting systems to improve transparency and security.
Guerra-Leal et al. (2023)	To study the impact of financial inclusion and digital banking in an emerging economy.	Empirical study on financial inclusion and digital banking in emerging markets	Digital banking enhances financial inclusion in emerging economies but requires infrastructure development.
Valsamidis et al. (2020)	To explore customer attitudes towards digital banking in the new digital era.	Survey-based study on customer attitudes towards digital banking	Customer adoption of digital banking depends on trust, security, and user experience.
Bu et al. (2024)	To explore the impact of digital finance on SME financing and access to capital.	Empirical analysis of SME financing data using financial modeling.	Digital inclusive finance enhances SME financing but poses new risk challenges.
Zhang, Zhu & Zhang (2024)	To analyze how digital inclusive finance influences common prosperity and economic mechanisms.	Statistical analysis of economic data related to digital finance and prosperity.	Digital finance fosters common prosperity by reducing economic disparities.
Lee, Jiang & Wen (2024)	To investigate the role of digitalization in financial asset allocation in China.	Quantitative analysis of financial markets and digital asset allocation.	Digitalization influences financial asset allocation strategies in emerging markets.
Ng et al. (2025)	To evaluate the role of digital finance in poverty alleviation in Asia.	Econometric modeling on the relationship between digital finance and poverty.	Digital finance supports poverty alleviation but requires policy alignment.

Beykoz Akademi Dergisi, 2025; 13(2), 286-315 DERLEME
Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

2.3. Blockchain Technology in Finance and Accounting

Blockchain, built on decentralization, transparency, and immutability, has emerged as a major force reshaping finance and accounting. As a distributed ledger, it records transactions in a tamper-resistant manner, relying on consensus rather than centralized control. By removing intermediaries, blockchain reduces fraud risks and enhances the efficiency and reliability of financial transactions (Chowdhury et al., 2023). Its secure, transparent structure has attracted growing attention from financial institutions and regulators alike. Research highlights its transformative potential in reporting and auditing: blockchain's immutable records can reduce discrepancies and strengthen regulatory compliance (Pimentel & Boulianne, 2020).

Blockchain's most visible impact on finance is the emergence of cryptocurrencies—decentralized digital assets that operate outside traditional banking. Bitcoin and Ethereum enable peer-to-peer transactions without intermediaries, offering faster and more secure cross-border payments. Institutions are adopting similar tools; JPMorgan's JPM Coin, processing over $1 billion in daily transfers, reflects this trend (Bloomberg, 2023). Beyond cryptocurrencies, DeFi applies blockchain and smart contracts to automate lending, borrowing, and trading. Smart contracts trigger transactions only when predefined conditions are met, reducing intermediary dependence and enhancing security (Tan & Low, 2019). However, DeFi's rapid growth has raised regulatory concerns, motivating the development of governance frameworks for decentralized financial systems (PwC, 2020).

A major application of blockchain in finance is the development of central bank digital currencies (CBDCs). Many governments are exploring these systems to improve transparency and reduce transaction costs. The European Central Bank (2023) is assessing a digital euro, while China's digital yuan pilot has processed millions of transactions, demonstrating how blockchain can support large-scale monetary systems (People's Bank of China, 2023). These efforts show central banks' interest in combining blockchain's security and efficiency with continued regulatory oversight. However, CBDCs raise challenges, including privacy concerns, cybersecurity risks, and potential disruptions to traditional financial institutions. As Schmitz and Leoni (2019) note, blockchain's benefits require substantial regulatory adaptation to prevent illicit activities such as money laundering and tax evasion.

Blockchain has also been widely adopted in trade finance, where traditional paper-based processes often lead to inefficiencies and delays. Financial institutions have been increasingly utilizing blockchain to streamline supply chain transactions, reduce fraud, and improve

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

efficiency. HSBC (2023) has leveraged blockchain to facilitate global trade transactions, reducing processing times from weeks to hours. This aligns with research by Liu, Wu, and Xu (2019), who found that blockchain significantly enhances the accuracy and transparency of financial transactions by creating a tamper-proof ledger of all activities. The impact of blockchain on trade finance is particularly notable in developing markets, where inefficient banking systems and lengthy verification processes often hinder financial accessibility. By digitizing trade documents and automating compliance checks, blockchain can help businesses reduce transaction costs and increase their operational efficiency (PwC, 2020).

Blockchain is set to transform core accounting functions, particularly financial reporting, auditing, and fraud prevention. Traditional audits depend on periodic reconciliations that are both slow and vulnerable to manipulation. By contrast, blockchain-based "triple-entry accounting" records transactions on an immutable, real-time ledger, strengthening the accuracy and verifiability of financial statements (Faccia & Mosteanu, 2019). Tools such as EY's Blockchain Analyzer enable auditors to monitor digital assets and evaluate transactions instantaneously, reducing audit risk and limiting opportunities for inconsistencies or fraud (EY, 2023; Han et al., 2023). Research also shows that blockchain-based financial statements can support more effective liquidity management by providing continuous access to current financial data, helping firms make better-informed decisions (Dashkevich et al., 2024).

Despite its advantages, blockchain adoption in finance and accounting faces several challenges. Regulatory uncertainty remains one of the biggest barriers, as financial authorities struggle to develop comprehensive legal frameworks to govern blockchain-based transactions. Deloitte's 2021 Global Blockchain Survey found that while 76% of financial executives believe digital currencies will replace traditional cash within the next decade, regulatory concerns continue to slow adoption (Deloitte, 2021). Another challenge is the high cost of implementation. While blockchain enhances security and efficiency, businesses must invest in new infrastructure, employee training, and compliance measures to fully integrate the technology (Oz et al., 2025). Moreover, blockchain networks, particularly those using proof-of-work consensus mechanisms, have been criticized for their high energy consumption. Sustainable alternatives, such as proof-of-stake and hybrid consensus models, are being explored to address this issue, but large-scale adoption of energy-efficient blockchain solutions remains a work in progress (Agustini & Mustakini, 2025).

The future of blockchain in finance and accounting will hinge on technological progress, regulatory adaptation, and collaboration across the industry. Tools like EY's Blockchain

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

Analyzer already demonstrate how institutions are using blockchain to audit digital assets and comply with emerging standards (EY, 2023). New developments—including AI-enhanced blockchain analytics, decentralized identity systems, and automated compliance—are expected to further influence financial operations (Kanaparthi, 2024). As adoption expands, professionals will need skills in blockchain auditing, regulatory compliance, and smart contract management. Despite ongoing challenges, blockchain's capacity to strengthen security, transparency, and operational efficiency positions it as a transformative force in finance and accounting. Institutions that adopt it proactively will be better equipped to navigate an increasingly digital financial landscape.

Table 3 presents studies from 2018 to 2025, capturing the evolution of blockchain applications in financial transactions, auditing, and regulatory frameworks.

Table 3. Recent studies on blockchain technology in finance and accounting

Author(s)	Purpose of the Study	Method Used	Findings
Chowdhury et al., (2023)	To explore emerging regulatory issues surrounding blockchain technology in financial accounting.	Regulatory analysis of blockchain applications in accounting.	Blockchain adoption introduces compliance challenges that require regulatory adaptation.
Yu, Lin & Tang (2018)	To introduce blockchain technology and its applications in financial accounting.	Conceptual framework on blockchain's introduction and use in accounting.	Blockchain enhances transparency and accuracy in financial accounting.
Demirkan, Demirkan, & McKee (2020)	To investigate the future role of blockchain in business cybersecurity and accounting.	Empirical study on cybersecurity and blockchain adoption in accounting.	Blockchain strengthens cybersecurity in accounting but requires robust implementation strategies.
Han et al. (2023)	To review the integration of blockchain and artificial intelligence in accounting and auditing.	Systematic literature review on blockchain and AI in accounting and auditing.	AI and blockchain together enhance automation and decision-making in accounting and auditing.

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

Faccia & Mosteanu (2019)	To discuss the evolution from double-entry to triple-entry accounting with blockchain.	Historical analysis of accounting methods and blockchain's role in triple-entry accounting.	Triple-entry accounting using blockchain enhances financial integrity and reduces errors.
Liu, Wu & Xu (2019)	To analyze the impact of permissionless versus permissioned blockchain on auditing and accounting.	Comparative study of permissioned vs. permissionless blockchain models in auditing.	Permissioned blockchains offer greater security and efficiency compared to permissionless blockchains in auditing.
Pimentel & Boulianne, (2020)	To assess current trends and future research opportunities for blockchain in accounting.	Literature review on blockchain's role in accounting research and practice.	Blockchain is a growing area in accounting research, but practical adoption remains limited.
Bonsón & Bednárová (2019)	To evaluate the implications of blockchain adoption for accounting and auditing practices.	Empirical study on blockchain's effectiveness in auditing and compliance.	Blockchain improves audit reliability and enhances accounting transparency.
Tan & Low (2019)	To examine the role of blockchain as a database engine in accounting systems.	Case study analysis of blockchain in accounting databases.	Blockchain enhances accounting databases by improving data integrity and security.
Schmitz & Leoni (2019)	To develop a research agenda for blockchain adoption in accounting and auditing.	Conceptual framework and research agenda for blockchain in accounting.	Blockchain presents opportunities and challenges for accounting and auditing.
Abdennadher et al. (2022)	To explore blockchain's effects on accounting and assurance professions in the UAE.	Survey-based exploratory study in UAE accounting and assurance sectors.	Blockchain is transforming the assurance profession but requires new standards.

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

Bellucci et al. (2022)	To conduct a systematic literature review on blockchain applications in accounting.	Systematic literature review of blockchain applications in financial reporting.	Blockchain is a growing focus in accounting literature, but practical applications vary.
Agustini & Mustakini (2025)	To conduct a systematic literature review on blockchain technology and accounting challenges.	Systematic literature review on blockchain in accounting research.	Blockchain in accounting is an evolving research area with practical and regulatory challenges.
Oz et al. (2025)	To examine barriers to blockchain adoption in sustainability reporting using a fuzzy AHP approach.	Fuzzy AHP methodology to analyze barriers to blockchain use in sustainability reporting.	Key barriers to blockchain in sustainability reporting include cost, complexity, and regulatory uncertainty.

The selected sources include empirical studies, systematic literature reviews, conceptual analyses, and industry reports, offering a comprehensive overview of blockchain's transformative impact on financial systems. Earlier studies, such as Yu, Lin, and Tang (2018), provide foundational insights into blockchain's introduction and its initial applications in accounting, while more recent studies, such as Agustini and Mustakini (2025) and Oz et al. (2025), explore emerging challenges such as regulatory barriers, scalability issues, and sustainability concerns. The scope of these studies covers key areas of blockchain integration, including cryptocurrencies, decentralized finance (DeFi), smart contracts, real-time auditing, fraud prevention, and financial reporting transparency.

Table 4. Comparative adoption of digitalization and blockchain across countries/sectors

Country / Region	Leading Institution or Initiative	Sector Focus	Key Application / Use Case	Source
United States (USA)	JPMorgan Chase – JPM Coin	Banking & Interbank Settlement	Blockchain-based digital currency enabling instantaneous transfers between institutional clients	Bloomberg (2023); EY (2023)

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

Country / Region	Leading Institution or Initiative	Sector Focus	Key Application / Use Case	Source
United Kingdom (UK)	HSBC – Trade Finance Blockchain	Trade Finance and Supply Chain	Distributed ledger system for cross-border trade transactions and document verification	HSBC (2023); PwC (2020)
China	People's Bank of China – Digital Yuan Pilot	Monetary Policy / Central Banking	Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC) for retail and wholesale payments	People's Bank of China (2023); European Central Bank (2023)
European Union	European Central Bank – Digital Euro Initiative	Banking & Regulatory Innovation	Feasibility study for a digital euro to complement cash and enhance cross-border payments	European Central Bank (2023)
United Arab Emirates (UAE)	PwC Middle East – Blockchain Auditing & ESG Reporting	Auditing and Compliance	Blockchain-enabled audit trail and ESG verification services for corporate clients	Abdennadher et al. (2022); PwC (2020)
Global	Deloitte & EY – Blockchain Analyzer and Audit Cloud	Professional Services / Accounting	Real-time auditing of digital assets and transaction tracking for compliance	EY (2023); Deloitte (2021)

Table 4 presents a comparative overview of how digitalization and blockchain technologies have been adopted across different countries and sectors, based on publicly available institutional reports and peer-reviewed sources. The examples reflect varying levels of technological maturity, regulatory adaptation, and strategic orientation.

In developed economies such as the United States, the United Kingdom, and the European Union, blockchain applications mainly focus on operational efficiency, transaction speed, and compliance. JPMorgan's JPM Coin enables near-instant settlement between institutional clients, while HSBC's blockchain-based trade finance platform drastically reduces processing times in cross-border transactions. The European Central Bank's Digital Euro Initiative represents a regulatory attempt to integrate blockchain principles into the formal banking infrastructure.

By contrast, emerging economies such as China and the United Arab Emirates use blockchain to promote financial inclusion, regulatory modernization, and transparency. The People's Bank of China's Digital Yuan Pilot demonstrates large-scale state-backed implementation of Central

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs), while PwC's initiatives in the UAE highlight blockchain's growing role in ESG reporting and audit transparency.

3. Methodology

This study applies a thematic analysis of literature published between 2018 and 2025, examining how digitalization and blockchain technologies shape finance and accounting. The review draws on peer-reviewed journals, industry reports, and regulatory publications accessed through Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar. To ensure systematic coverage, the search utilized targeted keywords such as "digital finance," "blockchain in accounting," "AI in financial auditing," "financial automation," "decentralized finance (DeFi)," "regulatory challenges in digital banking," and "financial inclusion through digitalization."

Thematic analysis is a widely used qualitative method that enables researchers to identify and interpret patterns within textual data (Braun & Clarke, 2006). It is especially valuable for examining complex and rapidly evolving areas such as digitalization and blockchain in finance and accounting, as it helps reveal underlying themes and relationships across studies (Nowell et al., 2017). By offering a structured yet flexible approach, thematic analysis supports the categorization and synthesis of key insights drawn from extensive literature (Vaismoradi, Turunen, & Bondas, 2013). Given the interdisciplinary scope of digital finance, this method is well suited to capturing dimensions such as technological innovation, security issues, regulatory challenges, and barriers to industry adoption (Clarke & Braun, 2017).

To ensure a systematic and rigorous thematic analysis, this study employed NVivo, a qualitative data analysis software suited for handling large bodies of textual material. All relevant journal articles, industry reports, and policy documents on digitalization and blockchain in finance were first imported into the software. The analysis began with data familiarization, during which the texts were reviewed to identify recurring patterns and emerging ideas (Castleberry & Nolen, 2018). This was followed by coding, where key phrases, concepts, and discussions related to digital finance were assigned to nodes in NVivo (Miles, Huberman, & Saldaña, 2018). Finally, the codes were organized into broader themes and sub-themes, allowing major trends in the literature to be clearly identified (Clarke, Braun, & Hayfield, 2015).

Beykoz Akademi Dergisi, 2025; 13(2), 286-315 DERLEME
Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

4. Findings

The study identifies six central themes that illustrate how digitalization and blockchain are reshaping finance and accounting. Thematic analysis of literature from 2018–2025 shows major shifts in financial operations, regulatory structures, and industry practices. Key themes include rising automation and process efficiency, blockchain's role in security and fraud prevention, and the expansion of decentralized ecosystems supported by smart contracts and digital currencies. The findings also highlight digital finance's contribution to financial inclusion, regulatory challenges linked to blockchain adoption, and implementation barriers such as scalability and cost. Table 4 summarizes these themes with accompanying descriptions and sources.

Table 5. Identified themes in digital finance and blockchain

Theme	Description
Automation and Efficiency in Financial and Accounting Processes	Explores the role of AI, RPA, and cloud computing in automating financial reporting, auditing, and fraud detection, enhancing accuracy and efficiency.
Security and Fraud Prevention in Financial Transactions	Analyzes blockchain's role in fraud prevention, AI-driven risk assessment, and case studies of financial security improvements in digital banking.
Decentralization and Disintermediation in Financial Services	Examines the rise of decentralized finance (DeFi), smart contracts, and blockchain-based peer-to-peer transactions, reducing reliance on traditional intermediaries.
Impact of Digital Finance on Inclusion and Accessibility	Investigates how mobile banking, blockchain-based microfinance, and digital wallets improve financial inclusion, while also highlighting challenges in accessibility.
Regulatory Challenges and Compliance in Digital Finance	Discusses cybersecurity risks, data privacy concerns, and the evolving role of financial regulators in overseeing blockchain-based financial transactions.
Adoption Barriers and Future Trends	Identifies high costs, scalability challenges, and expertise shortages as barriers to adoption while exploring the future integration of AI, blockchain, and financial automation.

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

4.1. Automation and Efficiency in Financial and Accounting Processes

The analysis identifies automation and efficiency as central forces reshaping finance and accounting, driven by AI, RPA, and cloud-based systems (Möller et al., 2020; Bisht et al., 2022). AI-supported predictive modeling enhances anomaly detection and decision-making (Liu, Wu, & Xu, 2019), while virtual assistants and chatbots improve service efficiency (Han et al., 2023). RPA is increasingly used in auditing and compliance to automate reconciliation and reporting, reducing costs and errors (Faccia & Mosteanu, 2019; Pimentel & Boulianne, 2020). Cloud-based financial systems further strengthen data accessibility, security, and regulatory compliance, supporting real-time tracking and AI integration (EY, 2023; Agustini & Mustakini, 2025). When combined with blockchain, cloud solutions enhance transparency and protect against unauthorized modifications (Chowdhury et al., 2023). Overall, automation has become essential for modern financial management, improving efficiency, compliance, and cost-effectiveness (Demirkan, Demirkan, & McKee, 2020; Han et al., 2023).

4.2. Security and Fraud Prevention in Financial Transactions

The thematic analysis identifies security and fraud prevention as a key area where blockchain and AI-driven fraud detection enhance financial security. Blockchain's immutable ledger system ensures transaction transparency, reducing fraud risks in cross-border payments and supply chain finance (Chowdhury et al., 2023; Demirkan, Demirkan, & McKee, 2020). Case studies highlight HSBC's blockchain-based trade finance system and JPMorgan's internal blockchain transfers, which improve security and transaction efficiency (HSBC, 2023; Bloomberg, 2023). EY's Blockchain Analyzer further strengthens compliance by tracking digital assets in real time (EY, 2023). AI-powered fraud detection models, using machine learning algorithms, identify anomalies in financial transactions, reducing fraud rates by up to 60% in institutions like Mastercard and PayPal (Bisht et al., 2022). Additionally, AI-driven KYC protocols enhance identity verification, mitigating risks of financial crime (Abdennadher et al., 2022). These advancements demonstrate how blockchain and AI are transforming fraud prevention strategies, reinforcing security and compliance in digital finance.

4.3. Decentralization and Disintermediation in Financial Services

The thematic analysis highlights decentralization and disintermediation as key trends transforming financial services through DeFi, smart contracts, and blockchain-based digital currencies. By eliminating intermediaries, DeFi platforms enable peer-to-peer lending, asset

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

trading, and decentralized exchanges, increasing financial accessibility and reducing transaction costs (Tan & Low, 2019; Pimentel & Boulianne, 2020). Smart contracts automate financial agreements, streamlining transactions such as lending and insurance settlements without third-party oversight (Han et al., 2023). Institutional adoption of blockchain-based digital currencies, such as JPMorgan's JPM Coin ($1 billion daily transactions) and China's Digital Yuan pilot, underscores the growing integration of decentralized financial ecosystems (Bloomberg, 2023; People's Bank of China, 2023). However, regulatory uncertainty, cybersecurity risks, and the potential for illicit activities remain major challenges for DeFi adoption and compliance (Schmitz & Leoni, 2019; Agustini & Mustakini, 2025). Balancing innovation with regulatory safeguards is essential for ensuring the long-term viability of decentralized finance.

4.4. Impact of Digital Finance on Inclusion and Accessibility

The thematic analysis highlights digital finance's role in improving financial inclusion and accessibility, particularly in developing economies and underserved populations. Mobile banking and blockchain-based solutions eliminate geographic and cost barriers, enabling financial participation without traditional bank accounts (Bu et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024). Services like M-Pesa and Alipay have significantly expanded digital transactions, while DeFi applications and blockchain-based microfinance facilitate peer-to-peer lending and digital wallets, promoting economic growth (Lee, Jiang, & Wen, 2024; Han & Wang, 2025). However, challenges such as low digital literacy, cybersecurity risks, and unreliable internet connectivity remain obstacles to broader adoption (Bhat et al., 2025; Abdallah, Tfaily, & Harraf, 2025). To ensure inclusive and secure digital finance, policymakers and financial institutions must enhance digital education, strengthen cybersecurity, and develop user-friendly platforms (Guerra-Leal, Arredondo-Trapero, & Vázquez-Parra, 2023).

4.5. Regulatory Challenges and Compliance in Digital Finance

The analysis identifies regulatory challenges and compliance as major obstacles to adopting blockchain-based and digital financial services. Cybersecurity threats, privacy concerns, and inconsistent regulations complicate oversight, especially in AML and consumer protection (Schmitz & Leoni, 2019). Although blockchain's immutability enhances security, hacking incidents and DeFi exploits have caused substantial losses (Faccia & Mosteanu, 2019; Weerawarna, Miah, & Shao, 2023). DeFi's lack of centralized control further limits regulators'

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

ability to monitor illicit activities (Karajovic, Kim, & Laskowski, 2019). Efforts by authorities such as the European Central Bank and the People's Bank of China signal progress in regulating digital assets, yet uncertainties around data protection and taxation persist (European Central Bank, 2023; Han & Wang, 2025). PwC's Evergrande case—resulting in a £47 million fine—illustrates the consequences of regulatory gaps and the need for AI-enabled auditing tools (The Wall Street Journal, 2024). Strengthening real-time blockchain monitoring and regulatory frameworks remains essential to ensuring secure and compliant digital financial ecosystems (EY, 2023; PwC, 2024).

While previous studies have primarily emphasized the risks associated with decentralized finance and digital currencies, emerging international initiatives suggest feasible pathways toward coordinated global regulation. One promising approach involves establishing cross-border regulatory sandboxes under the supervision of multilateral institutions such as the Bank for International Settlements (BIS, 2022) and the International Monetary Fund (IMF, 2023). These frameworks enable regulators to test blockchain-based financial innovations in controlled environments, fostering both innovation and compliance across jurisdictions.

Additionally, data protection and privacy regulations modeled after the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR, 2016) can serve as international benchmarks for protecting consumer data and ensuring accountability in distributed ledger systems. The harmonization of such digital standards would promote transparency and safeguard user rights while facilitating interoperability between national regulatory regimes.

Furthermore, recent scholarship advocates for public–private regulatory collaboration as a mechanism for adaptive oversight. As discussed by Arner, Barberis, and Buckley (2020) and Zetzsche et al. (2020), hybrid governance models—combining governmental supervision with private-sector expertise—can enable "smart regulation" capable of addressing fast-evolving technologies like blockchain and AI. Within these frameworks, algorithmic accountability and ethical auditing standards can be jointly developed by regulators, fintech firms, and professional auditing bodies.

These mechanisms collectively align with Institutional Theory, which posits that regulatory harmonization enhances legitimacy and trust within institutional fields (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983), and the Technology–Organization–Environment (TOE) Framework (Tornatzky & Fleischer, 1990), emphasizing the environmental context as a key determinant of sustainable

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

technological adoption. Implementing such multilayered governance structures would help balance innovation with compliance, fostering a more transparent, equitable, and globally coordinated digital finance ecosystem.

4.6. Adoption Barriers and Future Trends

The analysis identifies several barriers to adopting digital finance technologies, including high implementation costs, scalability constraints, and limited technical expertise (Schmitz & Leoni, 2019; Gietzmann & Grossetti, 2021). Blockchain's substantial computational demands raise energy use and operational expenses, while public blockchains such as Bitcoin and Ethereum continue to face scalability challenges despite Layer 2 and interoperability solutions (Bellucci, Cesa Bianchi, & Manetti, 2022; Kanaparthi, 2024). A notable trend is the growing integration of AI, blockchain, and machine learning for fraud detection, automated compliance, and predictive analytics, with smart contracts and DAOs reshaping financial management models (Demirkan, Demirkan, & McKee, 2020; Almadadha, 2024). Looking ahead, progress will depend on clearer regulations, the expansion of green blockchain technologies, and hybrid models such as CeDeFi that blend centralized and decentralized finance to create more secure and inclusive ecosystems (Agustini & Mustakini, 2025; Han & Wang, 2025).

5. Discussion

The findings suggest that financial institutions and businesses are increasingly leveraging AI, robotic process automation (RPA), and blockchain to enhance operational efficiency, reduce fraud, and facilitate secure transactions (Bisht et al., 2022; Chowdhury et al., 2023). These findings can be further interpreted through a comparative lens that bridges prior empirical and conceptual studies. For instance, Demirkan, Demirkan, and McKee (2020) emphasize that while blockchain strengthens cybersecurity in accounting, its full effectiveness depends on coordinated regulatory frameworks and implementation strategies. Similarly, Schmitz and Leoni (2019) argue that accounting institutions face a persistent regulatory lag that constrains blockchain's integration despite its technological maturity. The convergence of these insights with the present findings reinforces the dual role of blockchain as both a technological enabler and a regulatory challenge.

The analysis also underscores barriers to broader adoption, including regulatory uncertainty, cybersecurity risks, and scalability constraints (Gietzmann & Grossetti, 2021; Agustini &

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

Mustakini, 2025). A central finding is the significant impact of automation on financial reporting and auditing, improving accuracy and efficiency while reducing human error. AI-driven fraud detection and blockchain-based audit tools enable real-time monitoring and verification, strengthening transparency (EY, 2023; Liu, Wu, & Xu, 2019).

Despite these advances, decentralized and algorithm-driven systems introduce challenges such as smart contract vulnerabilities, AI biases, and the need for clearer regulatory oversight (Demirkan, Demirkan, & McKee, 2020; Han et al., 2023). Growing adoption of DeFi and blockchain-based digital currencies—including JPM Coin and China's Digital Yuan—signals a shift toward more disintermediated financial ecosystems (Bloomberg, 2023; People's Bank of China, 2023). Still, concerns persist over consumer protection, AML compliance, and the potential for illicit activity in decentralized environments (Schmitz & Leoni, 2019; Abdennadher et al., 2022).

These findings can also be interpreted through the lens of Institutional Theory and the TOE Framework. The institutional pressures driving regulatory compliance, technological readiness, and organizational adaptation are consistent with the TOE dimensions of technological, organizational, and environmental contexts (Tornatzky & Fleischer, 1990; DiMaggio & Powell, 1983). This alignment indicates that blockchain and AI adoption in finance is not only a technological phenomenon but also an institutional response to legitimacy and efficiency demands.

As automation and blockchain-based accounting systems move into the mainstream, financial professionals increasingly need expertise in AI-driven analytics, blockchain auditing, and cybersecurity risk assessment (Faccia & Mosteanu, 2019) The accountant's role is shifting from manual reporting to strategic analysis and compliance oversight. Tools such as EY's Blockchain Analyzer support real-time auditing by tracking blockchain transactions and reducing fraud risks (EY, 2023), while JPMorgan's AI-driven fraud detection systems enhance security by analyzing large transaction datasets (Bloomberg, 2023). To keep pace, organizations must invest in workforce training and technological infrastructure. Financial institutions are also collaborating with fintech firms to develop integrated AI–blockchain systems that automate processes while maintaining regulatory compliance (Almadadha, 2024). Prior studies similarly note that blockchain strengthens cybersecurity but requires structured implementation strategies (Demirkan et al., 2020) and that regulatory lag continues to impede adoption (Schmitz & Leoni,

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

2019). Overall, the findings underscore blockchain's dual role as both a technological enabler and a regulatory challenge.

From a theoretical standpoint, the current results align with Institutional Theory and the Technology–Organization–Environment (TOE) Framework. Institutional pressures—regulatory, normative, and mimetic—shape how organizations adopt and legitimize digital finance solutions (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983). Likewise, the TOE framework suggests that environmental factors such as market competition and national regulation determine the pace of adoption (Tornatzky & Fleischer, 1990). This theoretical integration clarifies why blockchain adoption patterns differ across jurisdictions: developed economies exhibit innovation-driven adoption under strong compliance systems, whereas emerging markets display regulation-driven adaptation to maintain legitimacy and stability.

Beyond the corporate sphere, regulators must establish clearer compliance frameworks to address the complexities of digital financial ecosystems. Governments increasingly recognize the need for standardized blockchain governance and AI-driven regulatory mechanisms (European Central Bank, 2023; People's Bank of China, 2023). China's Digital Yuan pilot, for example, incorporates centralized oversight to ensure transparent and compliant blockchain-based transactions (People's Bank of China, 2023).

Viewed through Disruptive Innovation Theory (Christensen, 1997), both DeFi and CBDCs function as disruptive forces that challenge traditional banking by reducing reliance on intermediaries and reshaping transaction structures. This perspective helps clarify why financial institutions pursue blockchain innovation alongside regulatory alignment. By contrast, the U.S. SEC has adopted a more cautious stance, imposing strict oversight on cryptocurrency exchanges and DeFi platforms to mitigate financial risks (Schmitz & Leoni, 2019). Collectively, these initiatives signal a global movement toward a more structured and compliant digital financial system.

Comparative analysis across regions also suggests that regulatory adaptation follows different institutional logics. While the European Central Bank and the People's Bank of China institutionalize blockchain within formal monetary systems, private-sector initiatives in the United States and the UK reflect a market-driven, innovation-led diffusion. This contrast supports the notion of institutional isomorphism—where organizations adopt similar technologies under differing coercive and normative pressures (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983).

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

Future research should further explore how these institutional contexts affect the sustainability and governance of digital finance ecosystems.

Future research should focus on addressing the scalability challenges of blockchain in high-volume financial transactions, improving AI-driven fraud detection mechanisms, and integrating sustainable blockchain models to reduce the environmental impact of digital finance (Oz et al., 2025; Agustini & Mustakini, 2025). Investigating the convergence of AI, blockchain, and quantum computing may further unlock new opportunities for enhanced data security, predictive financial modeling, and intelligent automation in finance (Bellucci, Cesa Bianchi, & Manetti, 2022). Additionally, empirical studies exploring the effectiveness of decentralized financial models, hybrid CeDeFi (Centralized-Decentralized Finance) systems, and blockchain-based financial governance will provide valuable insights into the next phase of digital financial transformation (Han & Wang, 2025).

6. Conclusion

This study shows how digitalization and blockchain have fundamentally reshaped finance and accounting. Six key themes emerged: automation and efficiency, security and fraud prevention, decentralization, financial inclusion, regulatory challenges, and adoption barriers. AI, RPA, and cloud technologies now streamline reporting, auditing, and transaction processing, reducing errors and boosting efficiency. Blockchain strengthens fraud prevention and financial security by creating transparent, immutable transaction records. Yet the study also highlights obstacles to adoption, including regulatory uncertainty, cybersecurity risks, and scalability and cost limitations.

Decentralization—driven by DeFi and smart contracts—is reshaping financial services by reducing dependence on traditional intermediaries. The growth of peer-to-peer lending, decentralized exchanges, and blockchain-based digital currencies like JPM Coin and the Digital Yuan reflects a shift toward more transparent and efficient financial ecosystems. Yet this transition also brings challenges, including heightened regulatory needs, concerns over financial stability, and risks of illicit activity in decentralized systems. The findings further highlight digital finance's role in promoting financial inclusion, with mobile banking, blockchain-enabled microfinance, and AI-driven services expanding access for unbanked populations. Nonetheless, digital literacy gaps, cybersecurity threats, and inconsistent regulations continue to limit broad financial accessibility.

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

Regulatory challenges continue to hinder innovation in digital finance. Although blockchain and AI-driven systems improve efficiency, accuracy, and fraud prevention, the absence of standardized global regulations creates uncertainty for firms and financial institutions. Cases like PwC's role in the Evergrande crisis highlight the complexities of digital-era auditing and the need for AI-enhanced compliance tools and real-time blockchain auditing. Moving forward, financial institutions and regulators must work together to establish frameworks that balance innovation with risk management, ensuring digital finance remains secure, ethical, and broadly accessible.

The future of finance and accounting will be shaped by advances in AI, blockchain, quantum computing, and machine learning. As these technologies evolve, financial processes will become increasingly automated, predictive, and data-driven, enhancing transparency and efficiency. The integration of AI analytics, blockchain-based compliance, and decentralized finance is set to redefine fraud detection, regulatory automation, and overall financial intelligence. At the same time, organizations must address technological risks, strengthen cybersecurity, and equip their workforce with the skills needed for a rapidly changing digital environment.

In conclusion, digital finance is not only revolutionizing financial operations but also redefining the role of financial professionals, institutions, and regulators. The balance between technological innovation and regulatory governance will determine the sustainability and resilience of digital financial ecosystems. While challenges persist, the potential of digitalization and blockchain to enhance security, efficiency, and financial inclusivity presents an unprecedented opportunity to create a more robust, transparent, and globally interconnected financial system. Taken together, these three domains—environmental, ethical, and socio-economic—constitute a comprehensive research roadmap for the coming decade. Addressing them will deepen theoretical insight while guiding policymakers toward sustainable, inclusive, and ethically grounded digital-finance ecosystems.

Future research should adopt a more systematic and interdisciplinary focus to address challenges at the intersection of technology, sustainability, and ethics in digital finance. Although blockchain improves transparency and efficiency, its environmental impact remains substantial; studies should quantify energy use across consensus mechanisms and explore greener alternatives (Stoll, Klaaßen, & Gallersdörfer, 2019; Sedlmeir et al., 2020). The growing integration of AI and blockchain in auditing and fraud detection also raises concerns about

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

algorithmic bias, transparency, and accountability (Cath, 2018; Jobin, Ienca, & Vayena, 2019), making it important to examine how responsibilities are shared among auditors, developers, and regulators. Additionally, research should investigate how digitalization and blockchain affect financial inclusion and equity, especially in emerging economies. Cross-national and qualitative studies can clarify how digital finance shapes access to credit, savings, and entrepreneurial opportunities for underserved populations (Gabor & Brooks, 2020), helping align technological progress with inclusive economic development.

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

References

Abad-Segura, E., Infante-Moro, A., González-Zamar, M. D., & López-Meneses, E. (2024). Influential factors for a secure perception of accounting management with blockchain technology. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 10*(2), 100264.

Abdallah, W., Tfaily, F., & Harraf, A. (2025). The impact of digital financial literacy on financial behavior: customers' perspective. *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal, 35*(2), 347-370.

Abdennadher, S., Grassa, R., Abdulla, H., & Alfalasi, A. (2022). The effects of blockchain technology on the accounting and assurance profession in the UAE: an exploratory study. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting, 20*(1), 53-71.

Agostino, D., Saliterer, I., & Steccolini, I. (2022). Digitalization, accounting and accountability: A literature review and reflections on future research in public services. *Financial Accountability & Management, 38*(2), 152-176.

Agustini, A. T., & Mustakini, J. H. (2025). A systematic literature review of blockchain technology and accounting issues: Is it a hype or hope?. *South African Journal of Accounting Research, 39*(1), 73-107.

Al-Htaybat, K., von Alberti-Alhtaybat, L., & Alhatabat, Z. (2018). Educating digital natives for the future: accounting educators' evaluation of the accounting curriculum. *Accounting Education, 27*(4), 333-357.

Almadadha, R. (2024). Blockchain technology in financial accounting: enhancing transparency, security, and esg reporting. *Blockchains, 2*(3), 312-333.

Arner, D. W., Barberis, J. N., & Buckley, R. P. (2020). The evolution of fintech: A new post-crisis paradigm? Georgetown *Journal of International Law, 47*(4), 1271–1319. https://ssrn.com/abstract=2676553

Baker, J. (2012). The technology–organization–environment framework. In *Information systems theory* (pp. 231–245). Springer.

Bank for International Settlements (BIS). (2022). The BIS Innovation Hub: Annual Report 2022. Basel, Switzerland: BIS.

Bellucci, M., Cesa Bianchi, D., & Manetti, G. (2022). Blockchain in accounting practice and research: systematic literature review. *Meditari Accountancy Research, 30*(7), 121-146.

Bhat, S. A., Lone, U. M., SivaKumar, A., & Krishna, U. G. (2025). Digital financial literacy and financial well-being–evidence from India. *International Journal of Bank Marketing, 43*(3), 522-548.

Bisht, D., Singh, R., Gehlot, A., Akram, S. V., Singh, A., Montero, E. C., ... & Twala, B. (2022). Imperative role of integrating digitalization in the firms finance: A technological perspective. *Electronics, 11*(19), 3252.

Bloomberg. (2023, October 26). JPMorgan says JPM Coin now handles $1 billion transactions daily.

Bonsón, E., & Bednárová, M. (2019). Blockchain and its implications for accounting and auditing. *Meditari Accountancy Research, 27*(5), 725-740.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77-101.

Bu, Y., Du, X., Wang, Y., Liu, S., Tang, M., & Li, H. (2024). Digital inclusive finance: a lever for SME financing? *International Review of Financial Analysis, 93,* 103115.

Carpenter, V. L., & Feroz, E. H. (2001). Institutional theory and accounting rule choice: An analysis of four US state governments' decisions to adopt GAAP. *Accounting, Organizations and Society, 26*(7–8), 565–596.

Castleberry, A., & Nolen, A. (2018). Thematic analysis of qualitative research data: Is it as easy as it sounds?. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning, 10*(6), 807-815.

Cath, C. (2018). Governing artificial intelligence: Ethical, legal and technical opportunities and challenges. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 376(2133), 20180080.

Chowdhury, E., Stasi, A., & Pellegrino, A. (2023). Blockchain technology in financial accounting: emerging regulatory issues. *Review of Financial Economics, 21,* 862-868.

Christensen, C. M. (1997). The innovator's dilemma: When new technologies cause great firms to fail. Harvard Business School Press.

Clarke, V., & Braun, V. (2017). Thematic analysis. The Journal of Positive *Psychology, 12*(3), 297-298.

Clarke, V., Braun, V., & Hayfield, N. (2015). Thematic analysis. In J. A. Smith (Ed.), Qualitative psychology: A practical guide to research methods (pp. 222-248). Sage.

d'Ignazio, A., Finaldi Russo, P., & Stacchini, M. (2025). Micro-entrepreneurs' financial and digital competences during the pandemic in Italy. *Italian Economic Journal,* 1-37.

Dashkevich, N., Counsell, S., & Destefanis, G. (2024). Blockchain financial statements: Innovating financial reporting, accounting, and liquidity management. *Future Internet, 16*(7), 244.

Deloitte. (2021, August 23). Deloitte 2021 global blockchain survey: Global financial services industry undergoing seismic shift; banks must embrace inevitable digital future. PR Newswire.

Demirkan, S., Demirkan, I., & McKee, A. (2020). Blockchain technology in the future of business cyber security and accounting. *Journal of Management Analytics, 7*(2), 189-208.

DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review, 48*(2), 147–160. https://doi.org/10.2307/2095101

European Central Bank. (2023). Central bank digital currency: A digital euro for the future?. Retrieved from https://www.ecb.europa.eu/paym/digital_euro/html/index.en.html

EY. (2023). Blockchain Analyzer: EY's solution for auditing digital assets. Retrieved from https://www.ey.com/en_gl/blockchain/blockchain-analyzer

Faccia, A., & Mosteanu, N. R. (2019). Accounting and blockchain technology: from double-entry to triple-entry. *The Business & Management Review, 10*(2), 108-116.

Feng, S., Zhang, R., & Li, G. (2022). Environmental decentralization, digital finance and green technology innovation. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics, 61,* 70-83.

Gabor, D., & Brooks, S. (2020). The digital revolution in financial inclusion: international development in the fintech era. In Material cultures of financialisation (pp. 69-82). Routledge.

Gangwar, H., Date, H., & Ramaswamy, R. (2015). Understanding determinants of cloud computing adoption using an integrated TOE–DOI framework. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 28*(1), 107–130.

Gietzmann, M., & Grossetti, F. (2021). Blockchain and other distributed ledger technologies: where is the accounting?. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy,* 40(5), 106881.

Govindarajan, V., & Kopalle, P. K. (2006). The usefulness of measuring disruptiveness of innovations ex post in making ex ante predictions. Journal of Product Innovation Management, 23(1), 12–18.

Guerra-Leal, E. M., Arredondo-Trapero, F. G., & Vázquez-Parra, J. C. (2023). Financial inclusion and digital banking on an emergent economy. *Review of Behavioral Finance, 15*(2), 257-272.

Han, H., Shiwakoti, R. K., Jarvis, R., Mordi, C., & Botchie, D. (2023). Accounting and auditing with blockchain technology and artificial Intelligence: A literature review. International *Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 48,* 100598

Han, Z., & Wang, Z. (2025). Digitalization and supply chain finance risk: Evidence from listed firms in the construction industry. Finance Research Letters, 74, 106726.

HSBC. (2023). Harnessing the benefits of blockchain: How HSBC is transforming trade finance. Retrieved from https://www.business.hsbc.ae/en-gb/campaigns/blockchain

Hopper, T., Lassou, P., & Soobaroyen, T. (2017). Globalisation, accounting and developing countries. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting, 43,* 125–148.

International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2023). Fintech and financial inclusion: Building resilience through innovation. Washington, D.C.: IMF.

Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019). The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. Nature Machine Intelligence, 1(9), 389–399. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0088-2

Kanaparthi, V. (2024, January). Exploring the impact of blockchain, AI, and ML on financial accounting efficiency and transformation. In International Conference on Multi-Strategy Learning Environment (pp. 353-370). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

Karajovic, M., Kim, H. M., & Laskowski, M. (2019). Thinking outside the block: Projected phases of blockchain integration in the accounting industry. *Australian Accounting Review, 29*(2), 319-330.

Kokina, J., & Blanchette, S. (2019). Early evidence of digital labor in accounting: Innovation with Robotic Process Automation. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 35,* 100431.

Lee, C. C., Jiang, L., & Wen, H. (2024). Two aspects of digitalization affecting financial asset allocation: evidence from China. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade, 60*(4), 631-649.

Liu, J., Jiang, Y., Gan, S., He, L., & Zhang, Q. (2022). Can digital finance promote corporate green innovation?. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 29*(24), 35828-35840.

Liu, M., Wu, K., & Xu, J. J. (2019). How will blockchain technology impact auditing and accounting: Permissionless versus permissioned blockchain. *Current Issues in auditing, 13(*2), A19-A29.

Markides, C. (2006). Disruptive innovation: In need of better theory. *Journal of Product Innovation Management, 23*(1), 19–25.

Meyer, J. W., & Rowan, B. (1977). Institutionalized organizations: Formal structure as myth and ceremony. *American Journal of Sociology, 83*(2), 340–363.

Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2018). Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook. Sage.

Möller, K., Schäffer, U., & Verbeeten, F. (2020). Digitalization in management accounting and control: an editorial. *Journal of Management Control, 31*(1), 1-8.

Ng, T. H., Lim, Y. Z., Lye, C. T., Chan, K. H., & Lim, Y. S. (2025). Catalysing sustainable change: the role of digital finance in poverty alleviation in Asia. Quality & Quantity, 1-21.

Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 16*(1), 1-13.

Oliveira, T., & Martins, M. F. (2011). Literature review of information technology adoption models at firm level. *Electronic Journal Information Systems Evaluation, 14*(1), 110–121.

Oz, Y., Cakir, F. S., Ceyhan, İ. F., Zelka, A., & Akbulut, H. (2025). Barriers of Blockchain in Sustainability Reporting: An Application With Fuzzy AHP. Business Strategy & Development, 8(1), e70077.

People's Bank of China. (2023). Digital yuan pilot expands across China with millions of transactions. Retrieved from https://www.pbc.gov.cn/en/3688006/4563410/index.html

Pimentel, E., & Boulianne, E. (2020). Blockchain in accounting research and practice: Current trends and future opportunities. *Accounting Perspectives, 19*(4), 325-361.

Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council (General Data Protection Regulation). (2016). Official Journal of the European Union, L119, 1–88. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2016/679/oj

Sedlmeir, J., Buhl, H. U., Fridgen, G., & Keller, R. (2020). The energy consumption of blockchain technology: Beyond myth. Business & Information Systems

Stoll, C., Klaaßen, L., & Gallersdörfer, U. (2019). The carbon footprint of bitcoin. Joule, 3(7), 1647–1661. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2019.05.012

The Wall Street Journal. Retrieved from https://www.wsj.com/finance/china-fines-pwc-over-evergrandes-onshore-unit-faba21db

PwC. (2020). Time for trust: How blockchain will transform business and the economy. Retrieved from https://www.pwc.com.cy/en/issues/assets/blockchain-time-for-trust.pdf

Gönderim tarihi: 27.03.2025 Kabul tarihi: 06.11.2025
DOI: 10.14514/beykozad.1667091

Schmitz, J., & Leoni, G. (2019). Accounting and auditing at the time of blockchain technology: a research agenda. *Australian Accounting Review, 29*(2), 331-342.

Tan, B. S., & Low, K. Y. (2019). Blockchain as the database engine in the accounting system. *Australian Accounting Review, 29*(2), 312-318.

Tornatzky, L. G., & Fleischer, M. (1990). The processes of technological innovation. Lexington Books. https://www.worldcat.org/title/20427476

Vaismoradi, M., Turunen, H., & Bondas, T. (2013). Content analysis and thematic analysis: Implications for conducting a qualitative descriptive study. *Nursing & Health Sciences, 15*(3), 398-405.

Valsamidis, S., Tsourgiannis, L., Pappas, D., & Mosxou, E. (2020). Digital banking in the new era: Exploring customers' attitudes. In Business Performance and Financial Institutions in Europe: Business Models and Value Creation Across European Industries (pp. 91-104). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Weerawarna, R., Miah, S. J., & Shao, X. (2023). Emerging advances of blockchain technology in finance: a content analysis. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing, 27*(4), 1495-1508.

Yu, T., Lin, Z., & Tang, Q. (2018). Blockchain: The introduction and its application in financial accounting. *Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance, 29*(4), 37-47.

Zetzsche, D. A., Buckley, R. P., Arner, D. W., & Barberis, J. N. (2020). Regulating a revolution: From regulatory sandboxes to smart regulation. *Fordham Journal of Corporate & Financial Law, 26*(1), 31–103. https://ssrn.com/abstract=3018534

Zhang, C., Zhu, Y., & Zhang, L. (2024). Effect of digital inclusive finance on common prosperity and the underlying mechanisms. *International Review of Financial Analysis,* 91, 102940.